



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/667,796	9/22/00	Curtis	59516-011

EXAMINER

FOX

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1038

115/04

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Thomas Haag (Reg. 47,621) (3) _____
(2) David FOX (4) _____

Date of Interview 1/5/04

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: Newly submitted Narasimhulu et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Appl rep indicated intent to incorporate claim 10 into claim 1; Exam agreed this would remove art objections. Appl rep reserves right to prosecute broader claims in a continuing application. Exam to consider Narasimhulu et al for evidence of

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

successful transient transformation of monomers by Agro.
If convincing, 112 1st reaction to be withdrawn
and the case allowed.
Initial perusal of Narasimhulu
appears to support Applicant's position.